1		
2		•
3		
4		TESTIMONY OF A. R. WATTS
5 .		FOR
6 7		THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA
8		
9 10		DOCKET NO. 1999-001-E
1		IN RE: CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
12		
13		
14	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND
15		OCCUPATION?
l6	A.	A. R. Watts, 101 Executive Center Drive, Columbia, South Carolina. I am
17		employed by the Public Service Commission of South Carolina, Utilities
18		Department, as an Associate Engineer.
19	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
20		EXPERIENCE.
21	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering from the
22		University of South Carolina in Columbia in 1976. I was employed at that time by
23		this Commission as a Utilities Engineer in the Electric Department and was
24		promoted to Chief of the Electric Department in August 1981. I have been in my
25		current position since July 1995. I have attended professional seminars relating to
26		Electric Utility Rate Design, and have testified before this Commission in
27		conjunction with numerous fuel clause and general rate proceedings.
28	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
29		PROCEEDING?
30	Α.	The purpose of my testimony is to summarize Staff's findings and recommendations
31		as set forth in the Utilities Department's portion of the Staff Report.
32		

28

29

30

1	Q.	MR. WATTS, WHAT SPECIFIC AREAS WERE ENCOMPASSED BY
2		STAFF'S EXAMINATION?
3	A.	The Utilities Department's examination of the Company's fuel operations
4		consisted of a review of the Company's monthly operating reports, review of the
5		currently approved adjustment for fuel costs Rider, and review of the Company's
6		short-term projections of kilowatt-hour sales and fuel requirements.
7	Q.	DID STAFF EXAMINE THE COMPANY'S PLANT OPERATIONS FOR
8		THE PERIOD?
9	A.	Yes, we reviewed the Company's operation of its generating facilities, including
10		special attention to the nuclear plant operations, to determine if the Company made
11		every reasonable effort to minimize fuel costs.
12	Q.	HAVE YOU DETERMINED THAT ANY SITUATIONS WARRANT
13		DETERMINATION THAT THE COMPANY HAS ACTED
14		UNREASONABLY IN OPERATING ITS FACILITIES AND THEREBY
15		CAUSING ITS CUSTOMERS TO BE SUBJECT TO PAYING HIGHER
16		FUEL COSTS?
17	A.	No, the Company's generating facilities, particularly the four nuclear units,
18		operated very well during the period under review. These nuclear units averaged
19		91.9% actual capacity factor for the period. This was achieved even though the
20		system experienced refueling outages at three of the four nuclear units during this
21		review period. The major fossil units averaged over 95% availability for the majority
22		of the period under review as indicated on Utilities Department Exhibit No. 1.
23	Q.	WOULD YOU BRIEFLY EXPLAIN THE REMAINING UTILITIES
24		DEPARTMENT'S EXHIBITS?
25	A.	Exhibit No. 2 shows the Company's Unit Outages for the months of January 1998
26		through December 1998, listing the plants by unit, duration of the outage, reason for
27		the outage, and corrective action taken. Exhibit No.3 lists the Company's
28		percentage Generation Mix by fossil, nuclear, and hydro for the period January 1998

through December 1998. Exhibit No. 4 reflects the Company's major plants by

name, type of fuel used, average fuel cost in cents per KWH to operate, and total

11	O.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
10		2000.
9.		account balance for various base levels of fuel factors for the period ending March
8		recovery account. Exhibit No. 10 is a table of estimates for the cumulative recovery
7		Adjustment for Fuel Costs tariff. Exhibit No. 9 is a history of the cumulative
6		data in Exhibit No. 6. Exhibit No. 8 is the Company's currently approved Retail
5		months ending December 1998. Exhibit No. 7 is a graphical representation of the
4		the original fuel factor projections to the factors actually experienced for the twelve
3		sales to the actual sales for the period under review. Exhibit No. 6 is a comparison of
2		No. 5 shows a comparison of the Company's original retail megawatt-hour estimated
1		megawatt-hours generated for the twelve months ending December 1998. Exhibit

11

Yes, it does. 12